

106TH CONGRESS
2D SESSION

S. 2702

To require reports on the progress of the Federal Government in implementing Presidential Decision Directive No. 63 (PDD-63).

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

JUNE 8, 2000

Mr. BENNETT (for himself and Mr. SCHUMER) introduced the following bill;
which was read twice and referred to the Committee on Armed Services

A BILL

To require reports on the progress of the Federal Government in implementing Presidential Decision Directive No. 63 (PDD-63).

1 *Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-*
2 *tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

3 **SECTION 1. REPORTS ON FEDERAL GOVERNMENT**
4 **PROGRESS IN IMPLEMENTING PRESIDENTIAL**
5 **DECISION DIRECTIVE NO. 63 (PDD-63).**

6 (a) FINDINGS.—Congress makes the following find-
7 ings:

8 (1) The protection of our Nation's critical infra-
9 structure is of paramount importance to the security
10 of the United States.

1 (2) The vulnerability of our Nation’s critical
2 sectors—such as financial services, transportation,
3 communications, and energy and water supply—has
4 increased dramatically in recent years as our econ-
5 omy and society have become ever more dependent
6 on interconnected computer systems.

7 (3) Threats to our Nation’s critical infrastruc-
8 ture will continue to grow as foreign governments,
9 terrorist groups, and cyber-criminals increasingly
10 focus on information warfare as a method of achiev-
11 ing their aims.

12 (4) Addressing the computer-based risks to our
13 Nation’s critical infrastructure requires extensive co-
14 ordination and cooperation within and between Fed-
15 eral agencies and the private sector.

16 (5) Presidential Decision Directive No. 63
17 (PDD–63) identifies 12 areas critical to the func-
18 tioning of the United States and requires certain
19 Federal agencies, and encourages private sector in-
20 dustries, to develop and comply with strategies in-
21 tended to enhance the Nation’s ability to protect its
22 critical infrastructure.

23 (6) PDD–63 requires lead Federal agencies to
24 work with their counterparts in the private sector to

1 create early warning information sharing systems
2 and other cyber-security strategies.

3 (7) PDD–63 further requires that key Federal
4 agencies develop their own internal information as-
5 surance plans, and that these plans be fully oper-
6 ational not later than May 2003.

7 (b) REPORT REQUIREMENTS.—(1) Not later than
8 July 1, 2001, the President shall submit to Congress a
9 comprehensive report detailing the specific steps taken by
10 the Federal Government as of the date of the report to
11 develop infrastructure assurance strategies and the time-
12 table of the Federal Government for operationalizing and
13 fully implementing critical information systems defense by
14 May, 2003. The report shall include the following:

15 (A) A detailed summary of the progress of each
16 Federal agency in developing an internal information
17 assurance plan.

18 (B) The progress of Federal agencies in estab-
19 lishing partnerships with relevant private sector in-
20 dustries.

21 (C) The status of cyber-security and informa-
22 tion assurance capabilities in the private sector in-
23 dustries at the forefront of critical infrastructure
24 protection.

1 (2)(A) Not later than 120 days after the date of the
2 enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall sub-
3 mit to Congress a detailed report on Department of De-
4 fense plans and programs to organize a coordinated de-
5 fense against attacks on critical infrastructure and critical
6 information-based systems in both the Federal Govern-
7 ment and the private sector. The report shall be provided
8 in both classified and unclassified formats.

9 (B) The report shall include the following:

10 (i) A description of the current role of the De-
11 partment of Defense in implementing Presidential
12 Decision Directive No. 63 (PDD-63).

13 (ii) A description of the manner in which the
14 Department is integrating its various capabilities
15 and assets (including the Army Land Information
16 Warfare Activity (LIWA), the Joint Task Force on
17 Computer Network Defense (JTF-CND), and the
18 National Communications System) into an indica-
19 tions and warning architecture.

20 (iii) A description of Department work with the
21 intelligence community to identify, detect, and
22 counter the threat of information warfare programs
23 by potentially hostile foreign national governments
24 and sub-national groups.

1 (iv) A definition of the terms “nationally sig-
2 nificant cyber event” and “cyber reconstitution”.

3 (v) A description of the organization of Depart-
4 ment to protect its foreign-based infrastructure and
5 networks.

6 (vi) An identification of the elements of a de-
7 fense against an information warfare attack, includ-
8 ing the integration of the Computer Network Attack
9 Capability of the United States Space Command
10 into the overall cyber-defense of the United States.

○